SOIL TEST INTERPRETATIONS

AND

RECOMMENDATIONS HANDBOOK

Originally written 1983 By Daryl D. Buchholz

Revised 5/2004

Contributors: James R. Brown Deanna K. Crocker John D. Garrett Roger G. Hanson John A. Lory Manjula V. Nathan Peter C. Scharf Howell N. Wheaton

University of Missouri - College of Agriculture

Division of Plant Sciences

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
|--|----|
| NITROGEN | 6 |
| AVAILABLE PHOSPHORUS | 13 |
| EXCHANGEABLE POTASSIUM | 20 |
| SOIL ACIDITY AND LIMESTONE | 27 |
| EXCHANGEABLE MAGNESIUM | 32 |
| EXCHANGEABLE CALCIUM | 34 |
| SULFUR | 35 |
| MICRONUTRIENTS (ZINC, IRON, MANGANESE, COPPER) | 37 |
| CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY | 40 |
| APPENDIX | 41 |
| CROP CODE 201. Southern peas | 42 |
| CROP CODE 202. Watermelon | 43 |
| TABLE A. Double crop yield goals | 44 |

List of Tables

| <u>Table No.</u> | | Page No. |
|------------------|--|----------|
| I. | Nitrogen rate adjustments based upon soil texture, organic matter, and time of major crop growth | 9 |
| II. | Equations for determining nitrogen requirements on forage crops | 10 |
| III. | Nitrogen values for use in recommending N fertilizer for row and small grain cro | ops 11 |
| IV. | Equations for determining nitrogen requirements of row and small grain crops | 12 |
| V. | Bray I soil test interpretations and ratings | 15 |
| VI. | Phosphorus removal and desired Bray P-I soil test level | 16 |
| VII. | Definitions of interpretive ratings for phosphorus and potassium soil tests | 18 |
| VIII. | Fertilizer P_2O_5 rates required to build Bray P-I soil test level to the desired level in 4 or 8 years | 19 |
| IX. | Potassium soil test interpretations and ratings | 23 |
| X. | Potassium removal and desired exchangeable potassium level | 24 |
| XI. | Fertilizer K_{20} rates required to build exchangeable potassium soil test levels to $160 + 5$ (CEC) in 8 years | 26 |
| XII. | Fertilizer K_20 rates required to build exchangeable potassium soil test levels to $220 + 5$ (CEC) in 8 years | 26 |
| XIII. | Desired soil pH _s range for crops in Missouri | 29 |
| XIV. | ENM requirements to increase the soil pH_s to <u>5.6 – 6.0</u> range based upon soil pH and neutralizable acidity | s 30 |
| XV. | ENM requirements to increase the soil pH_s to <u>6.1 – 6.5</u> range based upon soil pH and neutralizable acidity | s 30 |
| XVI. | ENM requirements to increase the soil pH_s to <u>6.6 – 7.0</u> range based upon soil neutralizable acidity | 31 |
| X VII. | Effective magnesium requirements to correct soil magnesium | 33 |
| XVIII. | Ratings for sulfur status of soils | 35 |
| XIX. | Suggested sulfur application rates when the soil sulfur status is low dependent on sulfate-sulfur soil test and cation exchange capacity | 36 |
| XX. | Ratings for DTPA extractable micronutrient soil test levels | 37 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure No.</u> | | Page No. |
|-------------------|--|----------|
| 1. | MP-188 Soil Sample Information Form, Front | 3 |
| 2. | MP-188 Soil Sample Information Form, Back | 4 |
| 3. | MP-189 Soil Test Report Form | 5 |

INTRODUCTION

This handbook contains information relating to soil test interpretations, and fertilizer and limestone suggestions used by the University of Missouri Soil Testing Service. This information is used with chemical soil tests currently used by the University of Missouri soil testing labs.

This handbook is designed to facilitate individuals familiar with Missouri's soil testing program in deriving interpretations and suggested treatments based upon soil test levels.

Major contributors to this handbook include Daryl D. Buchholz, James R. Brown, Roger G. Hanson, Howell N. Wheaton, John D. Garrett, Robin R. Rodriguez, Don Backfisch, John Lory, Peter Scharf, and Manjula Nathan.

Figures 1, 2, and 3 are the front and back of the Soil Information Form (MP-188) and the Soil Test Report Form (MP-189) currently being used.

Various other sources should be consulted for more in-depth discussion of soil test interpretations and information on Missouri's soil testing program. These sources include:

Fisher, T. R. 1974. Some Considerations for Interpretations of Soil Tests for Phosphorus and Potassium. Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station Research Bulletin No. 1007.

Brown, J. R., R. G. Hanson, and D. D. Buchholz. 1980. Interpretations of Missouri Soil Test Results. University of Missouri Agronomy Department Miscellaneous Publication 80-04.

Brown, J. R. and Robin R. Rodriguez. 1982. Soil Testing in Missouri. University of Missouri Extension Circular 923.

Computer programming assistance has come through Deanna Crocker, Michael Hess, Ken Kuebler, Mark Gardner, and Richard Ahrens.

The author would also like to thank the many members of the Agronomy Department who have been involved in various ways in helping to establish meaningful soil test interpretations and suggested fertilizer and limestone application rates.

Nitrogen

The Soil Test

Nitrogen is a mobile nutrient in the soil. Nearly all soils in Missouri require nitrogen for optimum production of crops requiring nitrogen uptake. The soil test used to estimate the nitrogen-supplying power is the organic matter test. Some nitrogen adjustments are also made on the basis of soil texture and time of year that the major portion of the crop growth takes place. Table I outlines the nitrogen-supplying power of soils for nearly all row crops and small grains.

The Rating System

Soils are not rated on the basis of organic matter content. This is because rapid changes in organic matter do not occur under normal crop management. As can be noted, soils containing higher amounts of organic matter generally are capable of releasing higher quantities of nitrogen.

The Recommendations

A. Forages

Nitrogen recommendations on forage crops generally make no adjustments on the basis of organic matter. Legumes fix their own nitrogen and, therefore, generally, do not have recommendations for additional nitrogen fertilizer. Table II lists the nitrogen recommendation equations used for all forage crops that do need nitrogen. Crops not listed have no nitrogen requirement.

B. <u>Row Crops and Small Grains</u>

Nitrogen needs for a crop are determined on the need to produce the vegetation and grain portions. The crop needs for nitrogen on a per unit basis are given in Table III. Total nitrogen needs are calculated using the equation:

 $NR = (V_m) (N_v) + (Y_g) (N_g)$

Where: NR = total nitrogen requirement

 V_m = pounds of vegetative material per acre

 N_v = pounds nitrogen per pound of vegetative material

 $Y_g = Yield goal$

N_g = pounds of nitrogen per yield unit (grain)

Nitrogen requirements (NR) minus the nitrogen-supplying power of the soil (Table I) based on organic matter content will indicate the nitrogen rate necessary to produce the yield goal for the selected crop.

Table IV outlines nitrogen calculations used for each row or small grain crop in obtaining nitrogen requirements.

Cotton (Crop Code 102) nitrogen recommendations are not dependent upon organic matter but, rather, the soil texture as indicated by cation exchange capacity. The equation used for determining nitrogen recommendations on cotton is:

NR = 0.1 * (yield - 500) + CEC + 50

with the limits of the lowest recommended rate being 50 pounds nitrogen per acre. No adjustment is made on the basis of soil organic matter.

Corn (Crop code 103) nitrogen requirements are adjusted on the basis of yield goal and the assumed population required to achieve a given yield level. These populations are as follows:

| Dry | land | Irrigated | | |
|------------|------------|--------------------|----------|--|
| Yield Goal | Population | Yield Goal Populat | | |
| bu/a | plants/a | bu/a | plants/a | |
| < 60 | 14,000 | < 140 | 20,000 | |
| 60-99 | 16,000 | 140-179 | 23,000 | |
| 100-119 | 18,000 | 180-219 | 26,000 | |
| 120-139 | 20,000 | 220-259 | 29,000 | |
| 140-169 | 22,000 | > 260 | 32,000 | |
| > 170 | 25,000 | | | |

The total nitrogen requirements can be determined using this basic equation: NR = (population/acre) x (4 lbs N/1000 plants) + (0.9 lbs N/bu) x (Yield Goal)

This total nitrogen requirement should then be reduced according to the nitrogensupplying power of the soil (Table I).

| | Cation Exchange | Organic | Soil N |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Soil Texture | Capacity | Matter | Credit |
| | meq/100g | (%) | lbs. N/A |
| | | | |
| | | <u><</u> 0.5 | 20 |
| Sand – | < 10 | 0.6 to 1.4 | OM x 40 |
| Sandy loam | _ 10 | 0.0 10 1.1 | |
| | | ≥1.5 | 60 |
| | | <u><</u> 2.0 | 40 |
| Silt loam – | 10-18 | 2.1 to 3.9 | OM x 20 |
| Loam | | <u>≥</u> 4.0 | 80 |
| Clay loam - Clay | | <u><</u> 2.0 | 20 |
| | <u>> 18</u> | 2.1 to 4.9 | OM x 10 |
| | | <u>> 5.0</u> | 50 |

Table I. Nitrogen rate adjustments based upon soil texture and organic matterfor warm-season grain and row crops.

| Crop Code | Crop | N recommendation equation | |
|-----------|--|---------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Alfalfa, Alfalfa – Grass Establishment | | |
| 3 | Clover, Clover – Grass Establishment | Organic Matter | Lbs. N/acre |
| | | < 2.0% | 30 |
| | | \geq 2.0 | 20 |
| 4 | Cool Season Grass Establishment | <u><</u> 1.9% | 40 |
| | | 2.0 - 2.9% | 30 |
| | | $\geq 3/0\%$ | 20 |
| 8 | Wildlife food plot | 117 – OM a | djustment |
| 9 | Bermudagrass establishment | <u>≤</u> 1.9% | 40 |
| | | 2.0 - 2.9% | 30 |
| | | \geq 3/0% | 20 |
| 13 | Bluegrass Pasture | (Yield goal) x (0.6 | 6 # N/cow day) |
| 14 | Bermudagrass Hay | (Yield goal) x (50 | # N/Ton) |
| 15 | Bermudagrass Pasture | (Yield goal) x (0.6 | 6 # N/cow day) |
| 18 | Cool Season Grass Hay | (Yield goal) x (40 | # N/Ton) |
| 19 | Cool Season Grass Pasture | (Yield goal) x (0.6 | 6 # N/cow day) |
| 20 | Cool Season Grass-Seed, Residue Hay or Pasture | 100-130 lbs. N/ac | re |
| 21 | Cool Season Grass – Stockpile Fall Growth | 160 lbs. N/acre | |
| 24 | Sudangrass and etc. Hay | (Yield goal) x (40 | #N/Ton) |
| 25 | Sudangrass and etc. Pasture | (Yield goal) x (0.6 | 6#N/cow day) |
| 26 | Warm Season Grass Hay | 60 lbs_N/acre | |
| 27 | Warm Season Grass Pasture | | |

 Table II.
 Equations for determining nitrogen requirements on forage crops

Table III. Nitrogen values for use in recommending N fertilizer for row crops and small grains

| | | Vegetative* Harvest | | | Harveste | d Product | | |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------------|
| Crop | | Yield | Yield | Ν | Needed*** | Ν | Lb. N per | Soil OM ⁺ |
| Code | Crop | Unit | Lbs/A | % | lbs/A | % | Yield Unit | Adjustment |
| 100 | Barley | bu/A | 3000 | 0.6 | 18 | 2.0 | 0.96 | no |
| 101 | Buckwheat | lbs/A | 2000 | 2.5 | 50 | 5.0 | .05 | yes |
| 102 | Cotton | lbs/A | - | - | - | - | - | no |
| 103 | Corn, grain | bu/A | SEE TEX | Т | | 1.6 | 0.9 | yes |
| 104 | Corn silage | T/A | - | - | - | 0.45 | 9.0 | yes |
| 105 | DC** - Wheat + Soybeans | bu/A | same as w | heat | | | | no |
| 106 | DC – Wheat + Sunflower | bu/A | same as w | heat | | | | no |
| 107 | DC – Wheat + Grain Sorghum | bu/A | same as w | heat | | | | no |
| 108 | DC – Wheat + Sorghum Silage | bu/A | same as w | heat | | | | no |
| 109 | Oats | bu/A | 4000 | 0.6 | 24 | 2.0 | 0.64 | no |
| 110 | Popcorn | lbs/A | 6000 | 1.2 | 72 | 1.6 | 0.016 | yes |
| 111 | Rice | lbs/A | 5000 | 0.6 | 30 | 1.3 | 0.013 | yes |
| 112 | Rye | bu/A | 3000 | 0.5 | 15 | 2.1 | 1.18 | no |
| 113 | Grain Sorghum | lbs/A | 6000 | 1.0 | 60 | 1.4 | .014 | yes |
| 114 | Sorghum Silage | T/A | NA | NA | NA | .65 | 13.0 | yes |
| 115 | Soybeans | bu/A | NA | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | no |
| 116 | Sugarbeets | T/A | - | - | - | - | 4.0 | yes |
| 117 | Sunflower | lbs/A | 3000 | 1.0 | 30 | 2.6 | .026 | yes |
| 118 | Tobacco | lbs/A | - | - | 145 | 3.6 | .036 | yes |
| 119 | Wheat | bu/A | 3000 | 0.6 | 18 | 2.1 | 1.26 | no |

** DC = Double Crop

* Vegetative refers to stalks, stover, straw or crop residues. The yield is assumed for average conditions.

*** Needed N = Yield X (%N x 100)

⁺ See Table I

| Crop Code | Crop | |
|-----------|----------------|---|
| 100 | Barley | 18 + (Yield goal) x (0.96) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 101 | Buckwheat | 12 + (Yield goal) x (0.02) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 102 | Cotton | 0.1 * (yield goal – 500) + CEC + 50 |
| 103 | Corn, grain | $ \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \underline{Plant \ population} \\ 1000 \end{array} }_{\text{Matter Adjustment}} x (4) + (0.9) x (Yield \ goal) - (Organic Matter Matter Adjustment)* $ |
| 104 | Corn, Silage | (Yield goal) x (9.0) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 105 | Double crop | See wheat and soybeans. |
| 106 | Double crop | See wheat and sunflowers. |
| 107 | Double crop | See wheat and grain sorghum. |
| 108 | Double crop | See wheat and sorghum silage. |
| 109 | Oats | 24 + (yield goal) x (0.64) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 110 | Popcorn | 72 + (Yield goal) x (0.016) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 111 | Rice | 30 + (Yield goal) x (0.013) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 112 | Rye | 15 + (Yield goal) x (1.18) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 113 | Grain Sorghum | 60 + (Yield goal) x (0.014) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 114 | Sorghum silage | 13 x (Yield goal) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 115 | Soybeans | None Recommended |
| 116 | Sugarbeets | (4) x (Yield goal) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 117 | Sunflowers | 30 + (Yield goal) x (0.026) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 118 | Tobacco | 145 + (Yield goal) x (0.036) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| 119 | Wheat | 18 + (Yield goal) x (1.26) – (Organic Matter Adjustment)* |
| | | |

Table IV. Equations for determining nitrogen requirements of row and small grain crops.

* See Table I for organic matter adjustment.

AVAILABLE PHOSPHORUS

The Soil Test

The soil test to determine available phosphorus is the Bray I or weak Bray test. Results are expressed in pounds of P per acre.

The Rating System

Crops and crop rotations require different levels of available phosphorus. Generally, even in row crops, it is recognized that phosphorus response varies. Soybeans are not as responsive as corn or wheat, for example. However, it is suggested that phosphorus soil test levels be built to a sufficient level so as not to be limiting in general row crop production, regardless of the specific crop. Phosphorus soil test level suggested for row crops and small grains is 45 pounds P per acre. At this level, the potential for response to additional fertilizer phosphorus is low. A maintenance application of fertilizer is suggested for soil tests between 45 and 70 pounds of available P per acre. Forages do well at slightly lower levels of available phosphorus, with suggested soil test levels of either 30 or 40 lb P/acre. Table V lists fertility indices and the corresponding soil test levels. Fertility index for a given soil test level depends on the desired soil test level for a given crop. The equation used to determine fertility index when the soil test is less than desired is as follows:

 $FI = (200/STP_d) \times STP_o - (100/STP_d^2) \times STP_o^2$

Where FI = fertility index

 STP_d = desired soil test P level (30, 40, or 45 lbs. P/A) STP_o = observed or actual soil test P level

The fertility index for soil test levels greater than the desired level is calculated using the equation:

$$FI = \frac{100 \text{ x } \text{STP}_{\text{o}}}{\text{STP}_{\text{d}}}$$

| | | Soil test lev | els correspo | onding to FI |
|----------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Fertility | for desired | Bray P-I So | il Test = |
| Rating | Index (FI) | 30 | 40 | 45 |
| | | | | |
| Very Low | 0-50 | 0-9 | 0-12 | 0-14 |
| Low | 50-75 | 9-15 | 12-20 | 14-22 |
| Medium | 75-100 | 15-30 | 20-40 | 22-45 |
| High | 100-150 | 30-45 | 40-60 | 45-70 |
| Very High | 150-300 | 45-90 | 60-120 | 70-135 |
| Extremely High | 300 + | 90 + | 120 + | 135 + |
| | | | | |

Table V. Bray I soil test ratings, fertility indices, and corresponding soil test values.

Information on the desired soil test level for each crop is given in Table VI.

General definitions and interpretations for ratings of soil tests are given in Table VII.

The Recommendations

Phosphorus soil test interpretations and subsequent fertilizer recommendations are based upon the concept of buildup plus maintenance fertilization outlined by T.R. Fisher in Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station Research Bulletin 1007 titled, "Some Considerations for Interpretation of Soil Tests for Phosphorus and Potassium" and dated December 1974.

The fertilizer suggestion can be defined by its two components, buildup and maintenance where:

Lbs. $P_2O_5/acre = Buildup P_2O_5 + Maintenance P_2O_5$

The first component provides for fertilizer P_2O_5 requirements to increase the soil test level to the desired level over a specified number of years.

The equation used to calculate annual buildup is:

Buildup $P_2O_5 = 110 \text{ x} (\text{STP}_d^{0.5} - \text{STP}_o^{0.5})/\text{Years}$

Where: STP_d = desired soil test level in lbs. P/A (30, 40, or 45) STP_o = observed or actual soil test level Years = suggested number of years to increase soil test to desired level The desired Bray I soil test level and suggested number of years to increase soil test levels to the desired level are given in Table VI for each crop. Fertilizer P_2O_5 rates required to increase Bray I soil test levels to the desired level in 4 or 8 years are given in Table VIII.

Maintenance requirements are determined using the following equation:

Maintenance $P_2O_5 =$ (Yield goal) x (P_2O_5 removal/unit yield)

Phosphorus P_2O_5 removal is given for each crop in Table VI. In establishment of forages, no maintenance fertilizer is suggested. Double crop options utilize removal of both crops in maintenance calculations.

As phosphorus soil test levels increase above the desired level, response to fertilizer P_2O_5 addition is not likely. Therefore, only maintenance or less fertilizer is recommended using the following equation:

Lbs.
$$P_2O_5/acre = (Yield goal) (P_2O_5 removal) \left[1 - 2(FI-100) \right]$$

where: FI = the fertility index as calculated in previous section on rating system

When suggested P_2O_5 rates are less than 20 lbs/acre, but greater than zero, 20 lbs. P_2O_5 /acre is suggested.

| | | | | | Suggested |
|-----------|---|------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|
| ~ ~ . | | | Phosphorus | Desired Soil | Years for |
| Crop Code | Crop | Yield Unit | <u>Removal</u> | Test Level | Buildup |
| | | | vield unit | IDS P/A | |
| | | | | | |
| 1 | Alfalfa, alfalfa – grass establishment | - | - | 45 | 4 |
| 2 | Birdsfoot trefoil – grass establishment | - | - | 30 | 4 |
| 3 | Clover, clover - grass establishment | - | - | 40 | 4 |
| 4 | Cool season grass establishment | - | - | 40 | 4 |
| 5 | Lespedeza – grass establishment | - | - | 30 | 4 |
| 6 | Overseeding legumes into existing gras | s - | - | 40 | 4 |
| 7 | Warm season grass establishment | - | - | 30 | 4 |
| 8 | Wildlife Food Plot | cwt | 0.0093 | 45 | 8 |
| 9 | Bermuda grass est | - | - | 40 | 4 |
| 10 | Alfalfa, alfalfa – grass hay | ton/a | 10.0 | 40 | 8 |
| 11 | Alfalfa – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.05 | 40 | 8 |
| 12 | Birdsfoot trefoil – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.04 | 30 | 8 |
| 13 | Bluegrass pasture | cd/a | 0.05 | 40 | 8 |
| 14 | Bermudagrass hay | ton/a | 9.0 | 40 | 8 |
| 15 | Bermudagrass pasture | cd/a | 0.05 | 40 | 8 |
| 16 | Clover, clover – grass hay | ton/a | 8.2 | 40 | 8 |
| 17 | Clover, Clover – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.03 | 40 | 8 |
| 18 | Cool season grass hay | ton/a | 9.0 | 40 | 8 |
| 19 | Cool season grass pasture | cd/a | 0.05 | 40 | 8 |
| 20 | Cool season grass seed | - | - | 40 | 8 |
| 21 | Cool season grass – stockpile Fall grow | rth - | - | 40 | 8 |
| 22 | Lespedeza – grass hay | ton/a | 8.8 | 30 | 8 |
| 23 | Lespedeza – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.04 | 30 | 8 |
| 24 | Sudangrass hay | ton/a | 6.9 | 40 | 8 |
| 25 | Sudangrass pasture | cd/a | 0.03 | 40 | 8 |
| 26 | Warm season grass hay | ton/a | 2.0 | 30 | 8 |
| 27 | Warm season grass pasture | cd/a | 0.01 | 30 | 8 |

Table VI. Phosphorus removal and desired Bray P-1 soil test level for forages

| Crop Code | Crop | Yield Unit | Phosphorus Removal Ibs P ₂ O ₅ / | Desired Soil Test Level lbs P/A | Suggested Years for Buildup* |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 100 | Barley | bu/A | 0.38 | 45 | 8 |
| 101 | Buckwheat | lbs/A | 0.007 | 45 | 8 |
| 102 | Cotton | lbs/A | 0.038 | 45 | 8 |
| 103 | Corn (grain) | bu/A | 0.45 | 45 | 8 |
| 104 | Corn (silage) | ton/A | 3.6 | 45 | 8 |
| 105 | Double Crop: Wheat – Soybeans** | bu/A | - | 45 | 8 |
| 106 | Double Crop: Wheat – Sunflowers** | bu/A | - | 45 | 8 |
| 107 | Double Crop: Wheat - Grain Sorghum* | ** bu/A | - | 45 | 8 |
| 108 | Double Crop: Wheat - Sorghum silage | ** bu/A | _ | 45 | 8 |
| 109 | Oats | bu/A | 0.26 | 45 | 8 |
| 110 | Popcorn | lbs/A | 0.008 | 45 | 8 |
| 111 | Rice | lbs/A | 0.0065 | 10 | 8 |
| 112 | Rye | bu/A | 0.34 | 45 | 8 |
| 113 | Sorghum (grain) | lbs/A | 0.0093 | 45 | 8 |
| 114 | Sorghum (silage) | ton/A | 4.6 | 45 | 8 |
| 115 | Soybeans | bu/A | 0.84 | 45 | 8 |
| 116 | Sugarbeets | ton/A | 1.33 | 45 | 8 |
| 117 | Sunflowers | lbs/A | 0.0083 | 45 | 8 |
| 118 | Tobacco | bu/A | 0.004 | 45 | 8 |
| 119 | Wheat | bu/A | 0.60 | 45 | 8 |
| 201 | Southern peas | - | - | 45 | 8 |

Table VI (continued). Phosphorus removal and desired Bray P-1 soil test level for row crops

*Shorter buildup periods may be selected by the user and may be particularly appropriate for variable-rate fertilizer applications.

**Double crop maintenance P is calculated using the yield goal entered for wheat plus the assumed yields for double crops given in the appendix.

| Rating | Fertility Index | Definition |
|----------------|-----------------|---|
| Very Low | < 50 | A large buildup of available nutrient is needed. Starters and banding will improve the efficiency of the fertilizer used. |
| Low | 50-75 | A moderate buildup is necessary. Banding of fertilizer may be beneficial. |
| Medium | 75-100 | A slight buildup is desired, which may be accomplished with applications slightly in excess of maintenance requirements. |
| High | 100-150 | No buildup is necessary. Available nutrient levels should be maintained with maintenance treatments. |
| Very High | 150-300 | The available nutrient level is presently adequate; no annual maintenance treatments are needed. Monitor the level of available nutrients by soil testing every three to four years. |
| Extremely High | > 300 | The available nutrient level is suf- ficiently high so as to potentially cause nutrient imbalance. Use plant analysis to monitor the situation |

Table VII. Definitions of interpretive ratings for phosphorus and potassium soil tests

Table VIII. Annual fertilizer P_2O_5 rates required to build Bray P-I soil test level to the desired level in 4 or 8 years.

| | | De | esired Bray P-I | Soil Test Level | | |
|-------------------|-----------|--------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| | | | (lbs. | P/A) | | |
| Observed Bray P-I | <u> 3</u> | 30 | <u> 4</u> | 0 | <u> 4</u> | 5 |
| Soil Test Level | 4 yrs. | 8 yrs. | 4 yrs. | 8 yrs. | 4 yrs. | <u>8 yrs.</u> |
| (lbs. P/A) | | | (lbs. P ₂ | ₂ O ₅ /A) | | |
| 2 | 112 | 56 | 135 | 68 | 146 | 73 |
| 4 | 96 | 48 | 119 | 60 | 129 | 65 |
| 6 | 83 | 42 | 106 | 53 | 117 | 58 |
| 8 | 73 | 36 | 96 | 48 | 107 | 53 |
| 10 | 64 | 32 | 87 | 43 | 98 | 49 |
| 12 | 55 | 28 | 79 | 39 | 89 | 45 |
| 14 | 48 | 24 | 71 | 36 | 82 | 41 |
| 16 | 41 | 20 | 64 | 32 | 74 | 37 |
| 18 | 34 | 17 | 57 | 29 | 68 | 34 |
| 20 | 28 | 14 | 51 | 25 | 61 | 31 |
| 22 | 22 | 11 | 45 | 22 | 55 | 28 |
| 24 | 16 | 8 | 39 | 20 | 50 | 25 |
| 26 | 10 | 5 | 34 | 17 | 44 | 22 |
| 28 | 5 | 3 | 28 | 14 | 39 | 19 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 12 | 34 | 17 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 29 | 14 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 24 | 12 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 19 | 10 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 15 | 7 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

EXCHANGEABLE POTASSIUM

The Soil Test

The soil test used to determine the exchangeable potassium level is an extraction with neutral, one normal ammonium acetate. Results are recorded in pounds of K per acre. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) is also used in making interpretations and recommendations. The CEC is calculated by adding the milli-equivalents of calcium, magnesium, potassium, and hydrogen. CEC is expressed in milli-equivalents (meq) per 100 grams of soil.

The Rating System

Desired potassium soil test levels vary with crop to be grown and soil CEC. Forages, except pure alfalfa stands, have a desired potassium level of 160 lbs. K per acre plus 5 times the CEC. Row crops desire a level of 220 lbs. K per acre plus 5 times CEC.

As soil CEC increases, desired potassium soil test levels increase at a rate of 5 lbs. of K per acre with each 1 meq/100g of CEC. For example, corn growing on a soil with a CEC of meq/100g would have a desired potassium level of 220 + 5(10) = 270 lbs. K/acre.

Ratings for potassium are calculated using the following equation when soil test levels are less than desired:

 $FI = (200/STK_d) \times STK_o - (100/STK_d^2) \times STK_o^2$

Where: FI = fertility index $STK_d = desired soil test K level (160 + 5(CEC) or 220 + 5(CEC) lb. K/ac)$ CEC = cation exchange capacity (meq/100g) $STK_o = observed or actual soil test K level$

When potassium soil tests are greater than desired, the fertility index is calculated using the equation:

$$FI = \frac{100 \text{ x } \text{STK}_{\text{o}}}{\text{STK}_{\text{d}}}$$

Relative ratings, fertility indices, and desired soil test levels interpretation information are given in Table XI.

The Recommendations

Suggested potassium fertilization rates are based on a concept very similar to phosphorus interpretations. The components of buildup and maintenance fertilization are again used.

The buildup component for potassium is designed to gradually increase the K soil test level to the desired level over about an 8-year period.

The equation used to calculate annual buildup is:

Buildup K₂O =
$$\frac{75.5 (STK_d^{0.5} - STK_o^{0.5})}{Years}$$

Where: $STK_d =$ desired soil test level (160 + 5(CEC) or 220 + 5(CEC) lbs. K/acre) $STK_o =$ observed or actual soil test level (lbs. K/acre)CEC = cation exchange capacity (meq/100g)Years = suggested number of years to increase soil test to desired level

The desired K soil test level and suggested number of years to build up soil test levels to the desired level are given in Table X for each crop. Fertilizer K₂O rates necessary to build up K soil test levels over 8 years to a desired level of 160 + 5(CEC) and 220 + 5(CEC) are given in Tables XI and XII, respectively.

Maintenance fertilizer requirements are determined using the following equation:

Maintenance $K_2O =$ (Yield goal) x (K_2O removal/unit yield)

Potassium (K_2O) removal per yield unit is given for each crop in Table X. In establishment of forages, no maintenance fertilizer is suggested. Double crop options utilize removal of both crops in maintenance calculations.

The suggested fertilizer rate to use annually can then be determined by the equation:

Suggested $K_2O/acre = Buildup K_2O + Maintenance K_2O$

when the soil test for potassium is less than desired.

If the soil test for potassium is greater than the target level, crop use of soil available potassium is recommended to draw down the available K soil test level. Maintenance or partial

maintenance fertilizer rates are suggested when soil tests are in the high rating. Calculations for suggested K₂O rates when soil tests are high are made using the equation:

Suggested K₂O/acre = (Yield goal) (K₂O removal)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2(FI-100) \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

FI = the fertility index as calculated in the previous section on rating where: system.

When calculated potassium fertilizer rates are less than 20 lbs. K₂O/acre, but greater than zero, 20 lbs. K₂O/acre is suggested.

When soil test potassium is in the very high or extremely high range, no potassium fertilizer is suggested.

17

| | | | Desired | Exchangeable Po | Potassium Level (lbs. K/A) | | |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | Fertility | | 160 + 5 (CEC) | | | 220 + 5(CEC) | |
| | Index | | CEC | | | CEC | |
| Rating | (FI) | 6 | 12 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 18 |
| | | (lbs. K/A soil test) | | | | | |
| Very Low | 0-50 | 0-56 | 0-65 | 0-74 | 0-74 | 0-83 | 0-91 |
| Low | 50-75 | 56-95 | 65-110 | 74-125 | 74-125 | 83-140 | 95-155 |
| Medium | 75-100 | 95-190 | 110-220 | 125-250 | 125-250 | 140-280 | 155-310 |
| High | 100-150 | 190-285 | 220-330 | 250-375 | 250-375 | 280-420 | 310-465 |
| Very High | 150-300 | 285-570 | 330-660 | 375-750 | 375-750 | 420-840 | 465-930 |
| Extremely High | 300+ | 570+ | 660+ | 750+ | 750+ | 840+ | 930+ |

Table IX.Potassium soil test interpretations and ratings.

| Crop Code | Сгор | Yield unit | Potassium Removal Ibs $K_2O/$ yield unit | Desired Soil Test Level Ibs K/a | Suggested Years for Buildup |
|-----------|---|------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Alfalfa, alfalfa – grass establishment | _ | | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 2 | Birdsfoot trefoil – grass establishment | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 3 | Clover, clover - grass establishment | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 4 | Cool season grass establishment | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 5 | Lespedeza – grass establishment | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 6 | Overseeding legumes into existing grass | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 7 | Warm season grass establishment | _ | _ | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 8 | Wildlife food plot | lb/a | 0.006 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 9 | Bermudagrass establishment | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 10 | Alfalfa, alfalfa – grass hay | ton/a | 45 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 11 | Alfalfa – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.23 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 12 | Birdsfoot trefoil – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.10 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 13 | Bluegrass pasture | cd/a | 0.15 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 14 | Bermudagrass hay | ton/a | 34 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 15 | Bermudagrass pasture | cd/a | 0.17 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 16 | Clover, clover – grass hay | ton/a | 38 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 17 | Clover, Clover – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.19 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 18 | Cool season grass hay | ton/a | 34 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 19 | Cool season grass pasture | cd/a | 0.17 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 20 | Cool season grass seed | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 21 | Cool season grass – stockpile Fall growth | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 22 | Lespedeza – grass hay | ton/a | 20 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 23 | Lespedeza – grass pasture | cd/a | 0.10 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 24 | Sudangrass hay | ton/a | 19 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 25 | Sudangrass pasture | cd/a | 0.09 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 26 | Warm season grass hay | ton/a | 14.6 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 27 | Warm season grass pasture | cd/a | 0.07 | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| | | | | | |

Table X. Potassium removal and desired exchangeable potassium soil test level for forages

| Crop Code | Сгор | Yield unit | Potassium Removal Ibs K ₂ O/ | Desired Soil Test Level Ibs K/a | Suggested Years for Buildup* |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| 100 | Barley | hu/a | 0.24 | $220 + (5 \times CEC)$ | 8 |
| 100 | Buckwheat | lbs/a | 0.003 | $220 + (5 \times CEC)$ $220 + (5 \times CEC)$ | 8 |
| 101 | Cotton | lbs/a | 0.035 | $220 + (5 \times CEC)$ $220 + (5 \times CEC)$ | 8 |
| 103 | Corn (grain) | bu/a | 0.30 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 104 | Corn (silage) | ton/a | 9.0 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 105 | Double Crop: Wheat – Soybeans** | bu/a | - | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 106 | Double Crop: Wheat – Sunflowers** | bu/a | - | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 107 | Double Crop: Wheat – Grain Sorghum** | bu/a | - | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 108 | Double Crop: Wheat – Sorghum silage** | bu/a | _ | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 109 | Oats | bu/a | 0.19 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 110 | Popcorn | lbs/a | 0.005 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 111 | Rice | lbs/a | 0.004 | 125 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 112 | Rye | bu/a | 0.34 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 113 | Sorghum (grain) | lbs/a | 0.006 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 114 | Sorghum (silage) | ton/a | 10.0 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 115 | Soybeans | bu/a | 1.44 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 116 | Sugarbeets | ton/a | 3.33 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 117 | Sunflowers | lbs/a | 0.007 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 118 | Tobacco | lbs/a | 0.04 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 119 | Wheat | bu/a | 0.30 | 220 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |
| 201 | Southern peas | - | - | 160 + (5 x CEC) | 8 |

Table X (continued). Potassium removal and desired exchangeable potassium soil test level for row crops

*Shorter buildup periods may be selected by the user and may be particularly appropriate for variable-rate fertilizer applications. **Double crop maintenance K is calculated using the yield goal entered for wheat plus the assumed yields for double crops given in the appendix.

| | CEC (meq/100g) | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|-----|
| Soil Test Level | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 |
| (lbs. K/a) | | | (lbs. K ₂ O/A) | | |
| | | | | | |
| 40 | 67 | 74 | 80 | 86 | 92 |
| 60 | 54 | 60 | 67 | 73 | 79 |
| 80 | 42 | 49 | 56 | 62 | 68 |
| 100 | 32 | 39 | 46 | 52 | 58 |
| 120 | 23 | 30 | 36 | 43 | 49 |
| 140 | 15 | 22 | 28 | 34 | 40 |
| 160 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 27 | 33 |
| 180 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 20 | 26 |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 19 |
| 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Target level | 180 | 200 | 220 | 240 | 260 |

Table XI.Annual fertilizer K_2O rates required to build exchangeable potassium soil test
levels to $160 + 5 \times (CEC)$ in 8 years.

Table XII.Annual fertilizer K_2O rates required to build exchangeable potassium soil test
levels to 220 + 5 x (CEC) in 8 years.

| | CEC (meq/100g) | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| Soil Test Level | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | |
| (lbs. K/A) | | | (lbs. K ₂ O/A) | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 40 | 86 | 92 | 98 | 104 | 109 | |
| 60 | 73 | 79 | 85 | 90 | 96 | |
| 80 | 62 | 68 | 74 | 79 | 84 | |
| 100 | 52 | 58 | 64 | 69 | 74 | |
| 120 | 43 | 49 | 55 | 60 | 65 | |
| 140 | 34 | 40 | 46 | 52 | 57 | |
| 160 | 27 | 33 | 39 | 44 | 49 | |
| 180 | 20 | 26 | 31 | 37 | 42 | |
| 200 | 13 | 19 | 24 | 30 | 35 | |
| 220 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 23 | 29 | |
| 240 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 17 | 23 | |
| 260 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 17 | |
| 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | |
| 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| 320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Target level | 240 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 320 | |

SOIL ACIDITY AND LIMESTONE RECOMMENDATIONS

The Soil Test

Soil acidity is measured on the basis of the salt $pH(pH_s)$ of the soil. The pH_s measures the active acidity in the soil and indicates whether limestone applications are needed. To determine the amount of reserve acidity or limestone requirement to neutralize the soil acidity, the milli-equivalents of neutralizable acidity is measured by the Woodruff Buffer.

The Rating System

A general rating scheme for the pH_s measurement for crops is shown below. The rating has been divided into two groupings. Alfalfa is a sensitive crop to soil acidity and, therefore, has a separate rating.

| | | All Other | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Rating | Alfalfa | Crops | | | |
| | pH _s Range | | | | |
| Very low | < 5.0 | < 4.5 | | | |
| Low | 5.0-5.8 | 4.5-5.3 | | | |
| Medium | 5.8-6.5 | 5.3-6.0 | | | |
| High | 6.5-7.5 | 6.0-7.5 | | | |
| Very High | > 7.5 | > 7.5 | | | |

Soils with a pH_s rating of very low or low have a definite need for limestone. These soils may be limiting yield potential due to severe soil acidity. A medium pH_s indicates a need for limestone in the near future, but soil acidity is likely not causing yield reductions at the time of the test. Soils rated high have a soil pH_s optimum for crop growth and limestone is not needed in the next two or three years.

Limestone Recommendations

Limestone recommendations for all crops are based upon a single application of the suggested amount of effective neutralizing material (ENM). The suggested amount should bring the pH_s of the soil to within the optimum range for crop growth. When multiple crop options are selected, the suggested lime rate is based upon the crop demanding the highest pH_s .

The crops and optimum pH_s ranges for the soil regions in Missouri are given in Table XIII. All soils used for row crops should be limed to a pH_s of 6.1 to 6.5. Soils growing forage crops vary in optimum pH_s levels. Alfalfa and clover need a slightly higher pH_s for optimum growth. Forage crops grown in the Cherokee Prairies, Ozarks, and Ozark Border areas (Soil Regions 5, 6, 7, and 8) generally have a higher optimum pH_s range due to high levels of subsoil acidity.

To determine the recommended rate of effective neutralizing material (ENM), locate the desired pH_s range according to the cropping plan and soil region from which the sample was obtained (Table XIII). Then refer to the appropriate table (Tables XIV-XVI) to determine ENM requirements based upon the level of neutralizable acidity and pH_s .

To determine limestone needs in tons/acre, divide the ENM requirement by the ENM index for the liming material to be used. All liming materials are sold with a guaranteed ENM value as provided for by the Missouri Liming Law.

| | | Soil Regions | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | 5, 6, 7, 8 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 11, 12 | |
| | | Cherokee Prairies, Ozarks, | | |
| Crop Code | Crop | and Ozark Borders | All Other Soils | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | Alfalfa establishment | 6.6-7.0 | 6.1-6.5 | |
| 2 | Birdsfoot trefoil – Grass est. | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 3 | Clover establishment | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 4 | Cool season grass est. | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 5 | Lespedeza – Grass est. | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 6 | Overseeding legumes | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 7 | Warm season grass est. | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 10, 11 | Alfalfa | 6.6-7.0 | 6.1-6.5 | |
| 12 | Birdsfoot – trefoil pasture | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 13 | Bluegrass pasture | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 14, 15 | Bermudagrass | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 16, 17 | Clover | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 18,19.20,21 | Cool season grasses | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 22, 23 | Lespedeza | 6.1-6.5 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 24, 25 | Sudangrass | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| 26, 27 | Warm season grasses | 5.6-6.0 | 5.6-6.0 | |
| | | | | |
| 100-119 | All row crops | 6.1-6.5 | 6.1-6.5 | |

Table XIII.Desired Soil pHs range for crops in Missouri

Table XIV. ENM (effective neutralizing material) requirements to increase the soil pH_s to the <u>5.6 - 6.0</u> range based upon soil pH_s and neutralizable acidity (NA).

ENM = (400)
$$\left[NA - \frac{NA}{19.109 - 4.802(pH_s) + 0.297(pH_s)^2} \right]$$

| Neutralizable Acidity | | | nH | | |
|-----------------------|------|------|-----------|------|------|
| meq/100g | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.5 |
| | | | Lbs. ENM/ | Ά | |
| | | | | | |
| 1.0 | 314 | 298 | 262 | 216 | 162 |
| 2.0 | 628 | 586 | 524 | 431 | 324 |
| 3.0 | 942 | 878 | 787 | 647 | 487 |
| 4.0 | 1256 | 1171 | 1049 | 863 | 649 |
| 5.0 | 1570 | 1464 | 1311 | 1078 | 811 |
| 6.0 | 1884 | 1757 | 1573 | 1294 | 973 |
| 7.0 | 2198 | 2049 | 1835 | 1509 | 1136 |
| 8.0 | 2512 | 2342 | 2097 | 1725 | 1298 |
| 9.0 | 2826 | 2635 | 2360 | 1941 | 1460 |
| 10.0 | 3140 | 2928 | 2622 | 2156 | 1622 |

Table XV.ENM (effective neutralizing material) requirements to increase the soil pH_s to the
6.1 - 6.5 range based upon soil pH_s and neutralizable acidity (NA).

ENM = (400)
$$\left[NA - \frac{NA}{41.425 - 10.307(pH_s) + 0.629(pH_s)^2} \right]$$

| Neutralizable Acidity | nH _e | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|------|------|------|------|--|
| meq/100g | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 6.0 | |
| | - | Lbs. ENM/A | | | | | |
| 1.0 | 361 | 352 | 338 | 317 | 283 | 220 | |
| 2.0 | 722 | 703 | 676 | 635 | 567 | 441 | |
| 3.0 | 1083 | 1055 | 1014 | 952 | 850 | 661 | |
| 4.0 | 1444 | 1406 | 1352 | 1269 | 1134 | 882 | |
| 5.0 | 1805 | 1758 | 1690 | 1587 | 1417 | 1102 | |
| 6.0 | 2166 | 2109 | 2028 | 1904 | 1701 | 1322 | |
| 7.0 | 2527 | 2461 | 2365 | 2221 | 1984 | 1543 | |
| 8.0 | 2888 | 2812 | 2703 | 2538 | 2267 | 1763 | |
| 9.0 | 3249 | 3164 | 3041 | 2856 | 2551 | 1983 | |
| 10.0 | 3610 | 3515 | 3379 | 3173 | 2834 | 2204 | |

Table XVI.ENM (effective neutralizing material) requirements to increase the soil
 pH_s to the 6.6 - 7.0 range based upon soil neutralizable acidity (NA).

| Neutralizable Acidity | ENM |
|-----------------------|---------|
| meq/100g | (lbs/A) |
| 1.0 | 400 |
| 2.0 | 800 |
| 3.0 | 1200 |
| 4.0 | 1600 |
| 5.0 | 2000 |
| 6.0 | 2400 |
| 7.0 | 2800 |
| 8.0 | 3200 |
| 9.0 | 3600 |
| 10.0 | 4000 |

ENM = (400) (NA)

EXCHANGEABLE MAGNESIUM

The Soil Test

Exchangeable magnesium is extracted from the soil using neutral, one normal ammonium acetate.

The Rating System

The rating of a magnesium soil test is based upon the magnesium saturation level of the soil cation exchange. Higher levels of magnesium saturation are suggested on forages to help prevent grass tetany. Two general groupings are used for the magnesium ratings.

| | | All Other |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Rating | Forage Grasses | Crops |
| | ation of CEC | |
| Very low | < 5 | < 2 |
| Low | 5 - 10 | 2-5 |
| Medium | 10 - 15 | 5 - 10 |
| High | 15 - 35 | 10-32.5 |
| Very high | 35 - 55 | 32.5 - 55 |
| Extremely high | > 55 | > 55 |

Magnesium Recommendations

Corrective magnesium is suggested as effective magnesium (E.M.) when the magnesium saturation of the total cation exchange of a soil falls below 5%. Soils containing between 5.1 and 9.9 percent magnesium saturation are considered to be less than optimum in magnesium and a resulting triple asterisk (***) will appear in the recommendations. This is to indicate that if dolomitic limestone is readily available, it may be used but crop response to magnesium is not likely. Table XVII outlines the suggested magnesium rates to be used as corrective treatments. Soils low in magnesium should be retested after four years to determine levels of magnesium following treatments.

28

To determine the quantity of a magnesium liming material necessary to correct soils low in exchangeable magnesium, divide the effective magnesium (E.M.) by the effective magnesium index for the liming material to be used.

| | Cation Exchange Capacity (meq/100g) | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Exchangeable Magnesium | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 26 | 30 |
| (lbs. Mg/A) | Effective Magnesium (E.M.) lbs/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 40 | 60 | 100 | 140 | 180 | 220 | 260 | 300 |
| 80 | 50 | 80 | 110 | 135 | 175 | 210 | 240 |
| 120 | 35 | 60 | 85 | 110 | 130 | 155 | 180 |
| 160 | 25 | 40 | 55 | 70 | 90 | 105 | 120 |
| 200 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 50 | 60 |
| 240 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table XVII. Effective magnesium requirements to correct soil magnesium.

EXCHANGEABLE CALCIUM

The Soil Test

Exchangeable calcium is extracted using neutral, one normal ammonium acetate.

The Ratings

Ratings for calcium are based on the soil pH_s level and not on the calcium soil test. If the pH_s is very low or low, calcium is rated medium. Calcium is rated high if the pH_s is medium or higher.

Recommendations

Calcium is rarely, if ever, deficient in field soils. No recommendations for calcium are made on the basis of soil test exchangeable calcium. Exchangeable calcium is primarily used to aid in determining the estimated soil cation exchange capacity.

<u>SULFUR</u>

The Soil Test

There are two suggested soil tests to be used for interpreting sulfur needs: 1) extractable sulfate-sulfur using 500 ppm P as $Ca(H_2PO_4)_2 \cdot 2 H_2O$ in 2 N acetic acid as the extractant and 2) cation exchange capacity determined by summing the milliequivalents of exchangeable calcium, magnesium, potassium and hydrogen.

The Rating System

The rating system used for sulfur involves both soil sulfate-sulfur and cation exchange capacity. Research by Dr. R.G. Hanson has indicated that soils with either a sulfate-sulfur content greater than 7.5 ppm SO₄-S or a cation exchange capacity greater than 6.5 meq/100g are not likely to respond to fertilizer sulfur application. A simple table can be used to show rating for the sulfur status of Missouri soils (Table XVIII).

Table XVIII. Ratings for sulfur status of soils.

| | Cation Exchange | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Soil | Capacity (meq/100g) | | | |
| Sulfate-Sulfur | 0-6.5 6.5 + | | | |
| | Sulfur Status | | | |
| $(ppm SO_4-S)$ | Sulfi | ur Status | | |
| (ppm SO ₄ -S) | Low | Medium | | |

The Suggested Treatment

For row crops, small grains, and alfalfa, apply 10 to 20 pounds of S per acre, annually, when soil test rating for S is low. Most other forages do not require S even when the soil sulfur status is low.

31 Sulfur is not suggested on soils testing adequate based on either cation exchange capacity or the sulfate-sulfur soil test.

Table XIX.Suggested sulfur application rates when the soil sulfur status is low dependent onsulfate-sulfur soil test and cation exchange capacity.

| Crop Code | Crops | Sulfur Rate |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | | (lbs. S/A) |
| 2_7 11_27 | All forages except alfalfa hav | 0 |

| 2-7, 11-27 | All forages except alfalfa hay | 0 |
|--------------------|--|----|
| 1, 10, 11, 101-110 | Alfalfa and all row crops and small grains | 15 |

MICRONUTRIENTS (ZINC, IRON, MANGANESE, COPPER)

The Soil Test

The soil test used for micronutrient analysis is termed the DTPA extraction method. Results are expressed in parts per million (ppm) of each micronutrient.

The Rating System

The rating system used for soil tests is based on information from Soltanpour, P.N. and A.P. Schwab. 1977. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 8(3). 195-207. Table XX shows relative ratings for levels of the four micronutrients.

Zinc deficiencies have been noted in Missouri on soils with sandy texture, low organic matter and on graded or eroded areas where subsoils are exposed.

Iron, manganese, and copper have not been shown to be deficient in any widespread cases in Missouri. Only in very isolated cases would deficiency of any of these micronutrients be expected.

Table XXRatings for DTPA extractable micronutrient soil test levels.

| Soil Test Rating | Zinc | Iron | <u>Manganese</u> | <u>Copper</u> |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------------|
| | | pp | om | |
| Low | 0-0.5 | 0-2.0 | 0-1.0 | 0-0.2 |
| Medium | 0.5-1.0 | 2.1-4.5 | - | - |
| High | 1.0 + | 4.6 + | 1.0 + | 0.2 + |

The Suggested Treatments

Zinc

The zinc ratings and recommendations are for use in corn and grain sorghum. These recommendations are for a single corrective soil application that should last from three to five years. Some zinc fertilizers are highly insoluble and poor sources of zinc. Zinc sulfate is recommended. If chelates are used, decrease application by 1/3 to 1/2 and apply annually. Monitor levels with frequent soil tests and plant analyses.

| DTPA Soil Test Level | Rating | Suggested Application Rate |
|----------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|
| ppm Zn | | lbs. Zn/acre |
| 0-0.5 | Low | 10 |
| 0.5 - 1.0 | Medium | 5 |
| 1.0 + | High | 0 |

Iron

Foliar sprays of 0.5 to 3 pounds of actual iron per acre have been shown to be most effective. These may be suggested when soils test low in iron and when visual deficiency symptoms are seen. This is most likely to occur on high pH soils in the Missouri River bottom. Soil applications of iron have not been very effective in correcting iron deficiencies. Long-term correction can best be achieved with the application of farmyard manure.

Copper

Soils testing low in copper should be monitored for deficiency symptoms. Foliar application according to manufacturer's suggested rates per acre should be adequate to correct any deficiency symptoms that may occur. Soil applications of 2 to 8 pounds of copper per acre may be used, but will be of doubtful value. One-half that rate would be suggested if using soil applied chelates.

Manganese

Soils testing low in manganese should be monitored for deficiency symptoms. Foliar applications of 1-2 pounds of actual manganese per acre should correct any deficiencies that may occur.

CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY

Soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) is determined by adding the milli-equivalents of calcium, magnesium, potassium, and hydrogen based upon soil tests measuring those nutrients. This is only an estimate of the CEC and in should not be confused with other more accurate methods of measurement.

The Rating System

Soil CEC is used as a method of estimating the soil texture.

| Cation Exchange Capacity meq/100g | Soil Texture |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| <u><</u> 5.0 | Sand |
| 5.1 - 10.0 | Sandy loam |
| 10.1 - 18.0 | Silt loam |
| 18.1 - 24.0 | Clay loam |
| > 24.0 | Clay |

The method used to calculate CEC is:

 $meq/100g = \underline{lbs. Ca/A} + \underline{lbs. Mg/A} + \underline{lbs. K/A} + meq of neutralizable acidity$ $\frac{100}{780} + \frac{100}{780} + \frac{100}{780}$

Cation saturation can also be determined from these calculations. Convert lb/acre to meq/100g of the cation (as above), then divide by the CEC of the soil. Example:

lbs. Ca/A = 2400
meq Ca/100g =
$$\frac{2400}{400}$$
 = 6
CEC = 10.0 meq/100g
Therefore, % calcium saturation = $\frac{6}{10}$ = 60%

APPENDIX

Table A.The following table lists the assumed yield goals for the second crop in a double
crop system.

| Crop code | Crop | Yield goal for 2 nd crop |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 105 | Wheat – soybean | 30 bu/a (40 if irrigated) |
| 106 | Wheat – sunflower | 1000 lbs/a |
| 107 | Wheat – grain sorghum | 5000 lbs/a |
| 108 | Wheat – sorghum silage | 10 tons/a |